



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,442	11/21/2001	Craig A. Rosen	PJZ08	1543

22195 7590 02/13/2003

HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES INC
9410 KEY WEST AVENUE
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850

EXAMINER

MYERS, CARLA J

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1634

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/989,442

Applicant(s)

ROSEN ET AL.

Examiner

Carla Myers

Art Unit

1634

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ 6) ☐ Other: ____

Art Unit: 1634

RESTRICTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, 14, 15, and 21, drawn to nucleic acids, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.5.
- II. Claims 11, 12 and 16, drawn to proteins, classified in Class 530, subclass 350.
- III. Claim 13, drawn to antibodies, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.1.
- IV. Claim 17, drawn to a method of treatment with a nucleic acid, classified in Class 514, subclass 44.
- V. Claim 18, drawn to methods to detect nucleic acids, classified in Class 435, subclass 6.
- VI. Claim 19, drawn to a method to detect a protein, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VII. Claim 20, drawn to methods for identifying compounds which bind to a protein, classified in Class 435, subclass 7.1.
- VIII. Claim 22, drawn to a method to detect biological activity, classified in Class 435, subclass 4.
- IX. Claim 23, drawn to a binding partner, classification cannot be determined without additional information regarding the structural properties of the binding partner.
- X. Claim 24, drawn to a method of treatment comprising administering a protein, classified in Class 514, subclass 12.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1634

Inventions I and II are patentably distinct in structure and physicochemical properties.

Invention I is drawn to nucleic acids whereas invention III is drawn to proteins. Because nucleic acids are composed of nucleotides and proteins are composed of amino acids, the inventions have different structural and functional properties. Furthermore, the products are utilized in different methodologies, such that nucleic acids may be utilized in hybridization assays, while proteins may be utilized in ligand binding assays or to generate antibodies. Synthesis of the proteins of invention II do not require the particular products of the nucleic acids of invention I since the proteins of invention II can be isolated from natural sources or chemically synthesized.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the nucleic acids of invention I are not required to make the antibodies of invention III. Furthermore, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together and have different functions and have different physical and structural properties.

Inventions I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acids of invention I can be used in a materially different process, such as for synthesizing nucleic acids or proteins, or for therapeutic methods.

Art Unit: 1634

Inventions I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the nucleic acids of invention I can be used in a materially different process, such as for synthesizing nucleic acids or proteins, or for diagnostic methods.

Inventions I and VI, I and VII, I and VIII and I and X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the nucleic acids of invention I are not required to practice the methods of inventions V, VI, VIII or X.

Inventions I and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the nucleic acids of invention I are not disclosed as capable of use together with the binding partners of invention IX and the nucleic acids of invention I and binding partners of invention IX have distinct structure and physicochemical properties.

Inventions II and III are patentably distinct in structure in that the proteins of invention III have a different amino acid sequence as compared to the antibodies of invention IV. Furthermore, the products of invention II and III are utilized in different methodologies, such that the proteins

Art Unit: 1634

may be utilized in ligand binding assays and the antibodies may be used in therapeutic methods. Synthesis of the antibodies of invention III does not require the particular products of the proteins of invention II since the antibodies of invention III can be isolated from natural sources.

Inventions II and IV, II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the proteins of invention II are not required to practice the methods of inventions IV or V.

Inventions II and VI, II and VII, II and VIII, and II and X are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the proteins of invention II can be used in a materially different process, such as for generating or detecting antibodies.

Inventions II and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions have distinct modes of operation and functional properties because the proteins of invention II and the binding partners of invention IX have distinct physical and structural properties.

Art Unit: 1634

Inventions III and IV and inventions III and V and inventions III and VIII and inventions III and X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together because the antibodies of invention III are not required to practice the methods of invention IV, V, VIII or X.

Inventions III and VI and inventions III and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the antibodies of invention III can be used in a materially different process, such as for therapeutic uses.

Inventions III and IX are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions have distinct modes of operation and functional properties because the antibodies of invention III and the binding partners of invention IX have distinct physical and structural properties. Furthermore, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together.

Inventions IV, V, VI, VII, VIII and X are unrelated to each other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have

different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to distinct methods, each requiring different reagents, involving different method steps and having different objectives.

Inventions IV and IX, V and IX, VI and IX, VIII and IX and X and IX are unrelated to each other. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are not disclosed as capable of use together because the binding partners of invention IX are not required to practice the methods of inventions IV, V, VI, VIII, or X.

Inventions VII and IX are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the binding partners of invention IX can be used in a materially different process, such as for therapeutic uses.

Sequence Election Requirement Applicable to All Groups

In addition, each of the inventions detailed above each read on patentably distinct inventions drawn to multiple SEQ ID Numbers. The sequences are patentably distinct because they are unrelated sequences, and a further restriction is applied to each invention. For an elected invention drawn to a nucleic acid or amino acid sequences, Applicants must further elect a single nucleic acid or amino acid sequence. **For example, if Applicant elects invention I, Applicant**

must further elect a single nucleic acid sequence “X”, which encodes for a single amino acid sequence “Y”, and which is contained in a single clone “Z”.

It is noted that nucleotide sequences encoding different proteins are structurally distinct chemical compounds and are unrelated to one another. These sequences are thus deemed to constitute independent and distinct inventions within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 121. Absent evidence to the contrary, each such nucleotide sequence is presumed to represent an independent and distinct invention, subject to a restriction requirement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 CFR 1.14.

Applicant is advised that this is a restriction requirement and should not be construed as an election of species.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a different status in the art as demonstrated by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter and because inventions I-X require different searches that are not co-extensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carla Myers whose telephone number is (703) 308-2199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703)-308-1119. Papers related to this application may be faxed to Group 1634 via the PTO Fax Center using the fax number (703)-872-9306 or (703)-872-9307 (after final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Carla Myers

February 11, 2003


CARLA J. MYERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER